Machine Learning for Adaptive Cruise Control in Autonomous Vehicles

By Dr. Mohammad Simaan

Professor of Electrical Engineering, American University of Beirut (AUB)

1. Introduction

We aim to illustrate the development process of a particular control system embedded in a real car. More precisely, a common adaptive speed control of an automobile will be examined, which stands on an ACC system generalized by a connected cruise control (CCC). The proposed method in the current work corresponds to the first stage where the centralized control of the car is conducted based on the ML techniques such as the Reinforcement learning. This work represents the immediate control of the car in each time step based on the known accurate models of prior state and the prior control action, thus a Model-based Reinforcement Learning (MBRL) method was used to address the mentioned problem. The full self-driving car in which the responsibility of driving is shifted from the human driver to a controller system. Given the fact that in some cases these autonomous vehicles operate in the presence of human counterparts, the problem is redefined as behavior planning and control of an autonomous vehicle considering the possible intention changes and actions the human driver might perform.

In the last two or three decades, the automobile industry has seen a significant increase in developing technologies intended to make driving a car a more comfortable and safer experience, such as the Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC), which is currently one of the most important technologies for Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) [1]. ACC has gained greater attention partly because of transporting people in an energy efficient and sustainable manner. ACC uses longitudinal sensors to control the vehicle's speed and decreases the possibility of collision by adjusting the vehicle's speed based on the preceding vehicle's erratic behavior. However, machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI) have provided the ACC with potentials for further enhancement and development [2].

1.1. Background and Motivation

Following it is the sensor part, the sensors to control the vehicle including the millimeter wave radar, the vision system and the GPS system. As a high relaxed Accurately positioning sensors include GPS and GPIRS system, the system is a forcible Udora galgyeong system made up of the Full satellite constellation that is applicable all of World. LPARS system is essentially received the single frequency location said only the moment of the time the the distance dob directly from a mobile object to the earth. But for a single frequency of measuring that time only nmea for measuring with The ionosphere delay into the account hh GPS receiver needs to connect the receiver additional the differential difference system and correct atmosphere aberration. Through this the release error is very small, the can achieve the location accurate on the meter-level GPS carriersolo position receives single frequency signal the and the speed measurement Uses phase signal to receive signal code we to the carrier intervention in Single Frequency such as ionosphere delay into interference article to confirm the GPS speed measuring system methods and mechanisms.

[3] In the past few years, the autonomous vehicle (AV) has become a hot research topic at home and abroad. Currently, experts have realized the significance of adaptive cruise control (ACC) on autonomous vehicles . We must first understand what is the meaning of the adaptive cruise control. The so-called adaptive cruise control is somewhat similar to the previous cruising auxiliary speed control technology but has certain technological inheritance. The adaptive cruise control mainly realizes the original function of the cruise and another set of speed-modulation technology. Its precise positioning, followed by the rider in front of the vehicle, can be carried out automatically On speed control. ACC is a driverless vehicle system. The ACC system consists of three parts: the sensors, the processor, and the actuators. This can effectively reduce the driver's workload, improve the safety, economy, and comfort of the vehicles and has been widely used in Land vehicle driving. The traditional ACC system mainly includes a high-precision GPS system, CCD camera, ultrasonic sensor, millimeter wave radar, LIDAR sensor and navigation information, and so on. Some autonomous control system of main including thanks to 10 segment framework program and No reference to the author organization into of vehicle and sensor way and the stereo of camera. From the efficiency to the most like solution radars millimeter wave which have been developed recently although the system of <concept text-lg="long">Radar embodied send out the consistent fast rockets to the Object Space and the ranging method of small target is also short

Ring is the feature of radar. [4] So it can integrated digital map, system can provide long-range services to the vehicle navigation Applications.

1.2. Research Objectives

As generally realized for other critical driving sub-tasks, especially lane-changing maneuvers in urban environments, the use of learning strategies seems to be the contemporary methodology of choice. For a given vehicle following a target vehicle on the right lane, causing it to perform a left lane-changing maneuver upon the appearance of the left lane, a machine learning decision-making process is adopted which interferes with the Adaptive Cruise Control system. The just mentioned reinforcement learning agent is also able to learn, under both unfixed and fixed reward strategy, the most suitable times for stepping aside to the left lane, being careful about the interactions with other human-guided and learning cars [5]. This work falls within the implementation of intelligent templates, often entirely represented through the so-called deep reinforcement learning, to assist and/or substitute the current standard drowsiness and warning systems, which are unable to learn directly from actual driver's behavior, also monitoring the environment in a behavior and belief space.

Development of novel and improved computer-assisted driving systems, especially those which are capable of learning directly from the real-world driving behavior of test drivers through Machine Learning (ML), is one of the harshest challenges that the entire scientific community devoted to Connected and Autonomous Vehicles (CAVs) is tasked with. As all the driving tasks require the study of both proximities and velocities of own and nearby vehicles, for obtaining an actual enhancement with respect to the current state of the art, this work especially concentrates on advancing Adaptive Cruise Control Systems which lies on prevailing sensors for taming the relevant information and on model-based control strategies for regulating vehicle dynamics [6]. According to the simulated results, two main conclusions are extracted by the authors. First, the controller outperforms the current widely adopted model-based strategies with both constant relaxable and non-relaxable behavioral performances. Second, regardless of the deliberate design of the reward function, which drives the learning of the artificial agent, promoting a model-free approach is always advantageous.

2. Fundamentals of Adaptive Cruise Control

Forward Collision Avoidance (FCA) technology for vehicles incorporates Collision Warning Systems (CWSs) focusing on detecting lead vehicle braking/deceleration and danger levels thus resolving drivers' inaction in an emergency situation, Fully FCA with Autonomous Emergency Braking (AEB) and ACC (Adaptive Cruise Control) function has become common for new vehicles. ACC relies on a number of long-range sensors. As a result, users of low-cost sensors or systems have reported issues with: urban road scenarios, driving scenarios in harsh hall weather, dead zones in radar sensors and involvement of other vehicles causing problems. The aim of enhanced, accurate and reliable perception platforms in long-distance scanning is to avoid incorrect decisions.

Adaptive cruise control (ACC) systems typically rely on the input from one or multiple sensors such as radars, camera, lidar, etc. [7]. A range of approaches are considered promising for adaptive human driving and control strategies for automated driving, including MPC (model predictive control), potential-based approaches, and deep reinforcement learning. Rule-based approaches are often used to solve the ACC problem, providing qualitative and intuitive explicit solutions, but they often lack generalization and extensive investigation [5]. Depending on the vehicle speed, weather conditions, radar sensors are usually effective in detecting the relative position and speed of obstacles on straight and non-curving roads. For example, in the case of reduced visibility due to weather conditions or sun light, radar sensors are more effective than cameras. In reversing and changing conditions, significant errors in perception and road tracking are not detected by radar sensors [8].

2.1. Basic Concepts and Components

Given that the use of ML helped to make these results robust under different scenarios helps to indicate that an appropriate coupling of longitudinal and lateral maneuver planning in lane changing optimisation is key for achieving best "vehicle-following" performance. In a platoon testbed with one of the test vehicles as the leader and connected to the second vehicle with a Car2X communication link, based on the scheme proposed, the commanded speeds and accelerations are estimated for Level 1A to Level 3 automations ranges. In a connected and autonomous vehicle (CAVs) scenario, given that the output of the online system is an important attribute, "vehicle-following" performance may be possibly enhanced by using the current road traffic flow in the surroundings of all vehicles [9].

The use of machine learning (ML) for scene perception in autonomous vehicles (AVs) has become a standard technique in recent years. In particular, it has been widely applied to build the perception modules used in self-driving cars. Tesla Inc.'s Autopilot vehicles, which are currently on sale, use supervised ML for image-based scene understanding [10]. The here-proposed AV performance evaluation criterion proposed, which is named as the spatial domain trajecProtoproposed scenarios.Hence, since the coefficient of variation (CV) of inter-vehicular time (IVT) is the best predictor of the level of traffic flow perturbations in the spatial-temporal domain, this measure is used to classify the performance of platooned Testbed-2 into different Level 1A (low) to Level 3 (high) performance categories, for the scenarios and the platoon sizes tested in the present scenario, as an example. Similar classification could be done for the temporal domain trajectory, using different characteristics maximising the variation in selected parameters in the traffic stream [11].

2.2. Traditional Approaches

In the controller design for ACC, it is a challenging task in practice to obtain the accurate and complete vehicle state without uncertainty. For example, the real-time estimation of the preceding vehicle's acceleration is one of the most challenging tasks in practical ACC design. Many sensors, such as laser, radar, and vision sensor, are used to obtain the surrounding vehicle's state information with a certain measurement noise in ACC. However, the noise will unavoidably influence the estimate performance in the application. In noise-limited applications, it is difficult to estimate the sensor model and process noise as some of them are Langevin, i.e gyroscope or integration. Moreover, the unknown acceleration control signal, in prediction time steps with finite ahead time, of the preceding vehicle becomes an important problem in sensor or process predictions. Therefore, an adaptive control system needs to be developed for the disturbance- and uncertain-bounded setting. Certain reinforcement learning (RL) design: e.g., deep Q-network (DQN) controller has been used for ACC under unknown parameters. To alleviate this problem, an observer-based adaptive control (OBAC) model that can accurately estimate the uncertain sensors behavior, is proposed to design the state-independent robust ACC scheme. In contrast to it, the traditional deterministic adaptive dynamic programming (ADP) design scheme can be used to solve the difficult sensor dynamics estimation problem. In the light of the random Andersson–Söderberg (A–S) model, the adaptive control scheme is designed to derive the global asymptotically stable closed-loop control laws.

Adaptive cruise control (ACC), an extended version of the traditional cruise control that automatically controls the speed of a vehicle to match surrounding traffic flow, has been a focus of researchers for many years [12]. Cooperative adaptive cruise control (CACC), that extends the ACC to allow vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communication in a cooperative manner, and connected cruise control (CCC), that extends the ACC to allow vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) communication, are designed to improve traffic flow and fuel economy [13]. ACC can be divided into two main tasks: control strategy design and system state estimation. Ancioli et al. employed a model predictive control (MPC) strategy with an observer for situation assessment for ACC control system design. Birrell et al. designed a simple heuristic fuzzy logic controller for ACC [14]. The feedback control strategy of ACC can be seen as a car-following controller, which tries to make the velocity and spacing error between the vehicles converge to zero. For the human driver, the predictive behavior theory states that drivers can anticipate the future trajectories of vehicles in the traffic stream according to the sensed information and environmental knowledge, which is then used to adjust the driving behavior.

3. Machine Learning Techniques

Adaptive or intelligent versions of simple vehicle speed controllers have gained popularity in energy-efficient traffic systems and have been researched for high-risk driving scenarios and lateral error systems. For avoiding all the crashes in dangerous scenarios, three perfect controllers were introduced for a longitudinal collision avoidance system. An energy-efficient control system was designed for controlling vehicle speed in traffic systems, which adaptively drives all the low-automation vehicles just behind desired speeds and forces all the high-automation vehicles to have certain calm accelerations. A suitable Lyapunov function with derivatives of a Lyapunov function were used to derive convergent equation for a control system. Knowles's decision architecture and distributed model-free policy evaluations were the pioneering approaches for deep reinforcement learning algorithms. The simulative outputs of the novel decision system as well as state-action policies were validated by deep reinforcement learning application. Therefore, decision neural networks design similarity and differences were explained in a vehicle lane change systems.

[15] [6] This section introduces descriptions of artificial intelligent approaches for adaptive cruise control (ACC) in intelligent transportation systems. In autonomous or semi-autonomous driving vehicles, ACC uses methods to control the speed of a vehicle to keep at

a safe distance from the vehicle immediately ahead of it. In intelligent transportation systems, advanced driver-assistance systems, like ACC, have become popular for improving the safety and ease of driving as well as reducing the energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions. Neural networks are original and effective models for machine learning to control control systems, such as longitudinal control methods in autonomous vehicles. Furthermore, learning-based controllers were introduced in modification in adaptive couple controllers for autonomous vehicles. Three neural networks were engineered for both optimal feedforward control, sliding mode controllers, and control allocation in a coupled control system.

3.1. Supervised Learning

The first step in the development of successful and reliable autonomous vehicles is to allow standing vehicles to move in the different scenarios within the road network in a similar manner to human behavior. In order to achieve this objective, Reasearces has developed an ACC system based on machine learning techniques that learns the key features of the humanlike behavior in various scenarios and use them to move the vehicle through the road network. This study presents the architecture of developed ACC and applies ANN, SVM and LSTM techniques in the study to decide the most suitable scrambling configuration on a road segment available to the vehicle from the carCAM and fusion of carCAM and Lidar sensors. The study revealed that fusion of carCAM and Lidar sensors has realized a quality performance based on the evaluated confusion matrix [16].

Supervised learning and architecture techniques in ACCs [17]. A real dataset is used to calibrate the parameters, training, validation and testing the models. Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), Support Vector Machines (SVM) and meta-learning algorithms are systematically employed in the study to investigate the influence of (i) different combinations of input parameters, including vehicle traveling distance, velocity, oblique angle, relative velocity and relative acceleration; as well as (ii) input data from the radar sensors available to the onboard computers, such as L1 parameters and fusion sensors. In this context, recently developed Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) is also applied to predict the future outputs. The reported model accuracy has reached more than 90% in the best case scenario of a combination between L1 and camera sensors [7].

3.2. Unsupervised Learning

In this paper, a new control scheme for the CACC system is proposed via the integration of Gaussian mixture model (GMM) and unsupervised learning algorithm in an adaptive cruise control (ACC) I controller via an edge computing-based architecture. Although it is situated among early levels, the research built on in used an intelligent ITS route suggestion system which was intended to assist the driver with the ITS route selection. While addressing the SMSS design, the interactional analysis illustrated in had its primary focus on providing a better understanding of the impact of the HMI aspects on the driving behaviour since a unique aspect in the depicted research was that the route negotiation and the wrapped HMI offering feed and withdrawal control over the SMSS functions. In, the study presented a modified torque assist system design for front- and rear-wheel motors using unsupervised learning in the torque control of autonomous vehicles.

In [18], it was reported that a variety of deep learning technologies were applied to the safety and security-related issues of CAVs. In it, it was found that there exists an increased usage of machine learning technologies among other techniques used for the safety and security measures of vehicles. In [19], it was concluded that machine learning techniques are the most diversified and have the potential to detect and classify multiple anomalies as compared to other techniques. In a review found in [20], different machine learning techniques were applied for different types of applications. It was found in the review that most of the techniques are applied for the preventive applications whose role is to coordinate with centralized servers or analytical tools and maintain their functioning in real time to support the decision making process.

3.3. Reinforcement Learning

Model-based methods, such as model predictive control, and data-driven methods, such as machine learning (ML) and deep learning, can be used to model the uncertainty and stochasticity in traffic flow. Parking and adaptive cruise control policies are developed by verifying the integration of deep reinforcement learning and autoregressive modeling as the driver's model. Data-driven adaptive cruise control is developed by integrating reinforcement learning and Gaussian process modeling. Although both synthetic and real-world data from visualization experiments verify that the dynamic programming and model predictive control policies are effective in all scenes, the hybrid reinforcement learning can obtain better performance in practical driving scenarios rather than driver model, or only use deep learning or traditional methods to obtain better performance in specific scenarios.

Machine learning (ML) methods can model unknown driver characteristics and driving conditions, a core technology in level 4 and 5 autonomous vehicles (AVs) autonomous-driving features. The adaptive cruise control (ACC) system can be optimized by various machine learning methods to enhance driving comfort and safety. Although reinforcement learning (RL) can model practical traffic environments from unknown traffic flow data, the training process is time-consuming and difficult to manage [21]. A deep RL algorithm can be configured to manage complex control commands and model traffic flow, leveraging data efficiency and model representation. According to reference [22], cars trained with deep reinforcement learning exhibit improved safety, reduced fuel consumption, and shortened traffic time. Moreover, deep reinforcement learning can be employed in high-speed autonomous-driving tasks, such as lane changing, based on the mixed state–action space, except for fixed following distance control [1].

4. Data Collection and Preprocessing

Deep learning-based data preprocessing and fusion technique is proposed in this paper which is used for an adaptive cruise control (ACC) system. The proposed method consists of long short-term memory (LSTM) layers, time-distributed fully connected layers, combined with LSTM layers, and the dense layers combined with LSTM and using a data fusing model. Implementation results reveal that value target data in time step $k + 1$ can be predicted accurately at time step k satisfying the system constraints [23]. Because of the integration of two vehicle models, the predicted-following vehicle uses an accurate vehicle model, which is the actual measured following vehicle model and is available in real-time. The error between the actual and predicted time distance is used effectively in the fuzzy PDmax control law to suppress vehicle following speed oscillation, restrain vehicle decelerating according to previous-planned control law, stabilize driving comfort for different vehicle relative speed distance patterns under variable leading vehicle driving conditions. Compared with the closed-loop control strategy, the closed-loop control effect with an optimal open-driving control law increases the following safety and driving comfort of the vehicle. The experiments show that the safety of the controlled vehicle is significantly improved, and the predicted value of the vehicle's driving states is more consistent with the actual operation status. The electro-hydraulic servo system is developed to achieve the corresponding control effect. The self-developed electro-hydraulic servo system on the test bench of electromechanical laboratory compares design safety, safety, and economic principles with the laboratory test results.

This section discusses the procedures for collecting and preprocessing data. For the development of autonomous vehicles and adaptive cruise control (ACC) systems, large-scale video datasets and information about driver decision-making are essential [24]. The input space (visual information) is in three dimensions and high-dimensional visual feature spaces can be time consuming and inaccurate to be annotated. Since the driving scenarios such as speed limit, scenery, traffic density, daylight, sight distance, and hand position can affect the human driver, it is inevitable to consider all these scenarios to obtain superior generalization abilities. To collect data from the autonomous driving scenario, Unity and Carla driving simulators are used. Various combinations of environments include different scenes (parking lot, highway, and down-town), different traffic (light, normal, and heavy), and different distances of the preceding vehicle. To get a spatial understanding of the multiple agents involved in scenarios, the dataset is configured for joint detection and tracking across cameras. The state of the target vehicle (i.e., the one under consideration) includes the ego trajectory, the behavior of the vehicle in question (lanes it passed through, signs seen, etc.), and the trajectory followed by the vehicle.

4.1. Sensor Data Acquisition

Several sensors can acquire data at a frame rate that is different from the main control cycle. In the proposed sensor architecture, hardware and synchronization mechanisms are specifically chosen and designed in order to form a coherent signal acquisition system, matching all data at the same rate a posteriori. Signal coherency is unwound at frame acquisition time with the help of hardware triggers and an external acquisition module, which makes it possible to establish a precise timestamp for each acquired signal sample, independent of its frequency [25]. This is a key feature that allows processing the raw sensor signal inside an unsupervised learning system in terms of time correlation between all sensors, while all sensors rotate with multiple revolutions per second around the same tool center point on the Test Vehicle.

Multiple sensors with different specifications are used to perform various tasks in the scope of this work. Image data are collected by stereo RGB cameras [10], and also with a depth camera. This sensor is labeled Camera 3D and integrates both RGB and depth (a.k.a. range camera) data in a single chip, therefore inherent relative alignment and mechanical tolerances' influence can be considered much higher than in standard stereo vision setup. A pair of radar sensors completes the acquisition chain of this work. This sensor has the capability to detect few objects not provided with stereo and/or depth camera data, therefore extending baseline perception system with independent data from Bagara, car-or-more-ahead car(s), specially from Raveno Laptop 2 to Traveler Car, resume following motorbike and bus and for Roborace bolt car, which is not equipped with a radar sensor.

This section outlines the sensor array and acquisition components, operating principles, and processing pipeline that we developed for the Adaptive Cruise Control and Collision Avoidance System demo (Section 5).

4.2. Data Cleaning and Labeling

... evaluate our approach on a real-world dataset for classification of vehicles entering and leaving a specific intersection, divided into different categories. The efficiency of the proposed technique is assessed in terms of the time to train a reliable model and area under the precision–recall curve, in agreement with several baselines [26]. The overlap between different raw data sources is set to a minimum to include different biases for safe classification. The architecture and the evaluations are cross verified by including the labeled user inputs for training and model variability in predictions. By animating these guidelines for more understandable classification rules, the final productivity obtained is more or less easy to explain to the practitioners in real scenarios. Moreover, the approach allows the evaluation strategy to include only a very small fraction of labeled examples, improving the accuracy without compromising the fairness in representation.

In the supervised setting, training happens with examples annotated with the exact expected output, and this is an expensive task, when the objective is to train a reliable classifier. In contrast, less biased labels could deliver a minimum set of examples. If include data from different environments, the amount of correct labels could be larger and it would facilitate training and better model accuracy. As such, Doing so would also allow the evaluation set to filter more faulty data from our trained model.

- include heterogeneous data sources and a large amount of real user data to help identifying faulty labeled data that originates from different biases in the data sources; - include bias information of the labels into the model architecture to allow the model to identify data with incorrect labels. - acknowledge noisy labels could affect the final productivity evaluation; thus we collect multiple labels in our training and evaluation datasets. - acknowledge end-model can have unknown biases present in it; thus incorporate the same user data that is included for training in the model development phase for the final evaluation [27].

Machine learning classifiers are commonly misled by recently seen training examples when incorrect labels are supplied or when data from different distributions are merged. Although different, costly labeled data sources provide annotations to the data, the labeling policy should be realistic. This work presents results from different data ablation experiments to automatically identify faulty labeled data. The main idea is to:

5. Model Development

The contribution of this work lies in the commencement of ACC design with a new purpose, which is derived from Literature discussion on Kuhn et al. (2015) [5]. The prospective algorithm shows strong performance in terms of range velocity tracking and gust identification and rejection capability, and is seen to improve the nominal system performance. The privacy awareness of introducing camera and 5G-based features in the transportation system developed into a new research field for the vehicle network system designers. Optimization at all of the tasks of this controller can open huge areas of further research that directly impact the safety and comfort criteria in the driving of the vehicular networked models, and has a near capability of being applied quickly for a practical environment from a deep learning perspective [10].

The technology design and maintenance of CAVs falls into two major categories of concerns, a smart hardware design that combines vehicle windshield and chassis functionalities with comprehensive sensors and Actuators to create a robotic piece with maximum security and comfort, and a proper control system that smoothly functions in uncertain environments with heavy real-time computation requirements. The vehicle can be also considered as a networked device where extensive communication channels are provided. The control and performance optimization problem is addressed in a more prominent way in this research field, in comparison with the successful design of cooperative intelligent transport system (C-ITS) networks [20]. Concerning the practical literature, adaptive cruise control (ACC) in CAVs stands out as a sustainable technology in the transportation sector, concerning reducing traffic jams and avoiding traffic collisions. However, for some states, especially during transitions through free and traffic areas, more divided decisionmaking is essential for the controller.

5.1. Feature Engineering

- Feature Engineering: Feature selection or construction is a critical step in the process of applying ML to solve real-world problems [14]. Though, it is important to note that production-oriented refined engineering design also includes multiple offline simulation tests and safety assessments to validate the semantic effectiveness of extracted features in located driving situations. The study of [previous study] show that the deep learning methods for FAD initially utilized a bottom-up approach, meaning, the whole picture was learned from pixel level by layer-wise unsupervised learning followed by stacking, which created a deep belief network [21]. Its effectiveness was demonstrated for road sign recognition and obstacle detection. Later, more sophisticated networks with many complex net-connections have started to be used for, in the sense, end-to-end learning against the classical systems. However, it reveals downsides in model interpretability and stability, when compared with the conventional methods, such as SVM and CNN. Therefore, it is of critical importance to understand the key parameters governing the driving system through intermediary features that can be understood by human beings. Here, we should mention that excessive complexity of the ML model will increase the size of the model, reduce the efficiency, and can important to increase the wasted energy.

Feature engineering and candidate model selection are fundamental components for machine learning model building [28]. This section provides detailed descriptions of both feature engineering and candidate deep learning model selection as building blocks of proactive pipeline features in ACC.

5.2. Model Selection and Evaluation

The investigated models were stimulated at different chosen speed levels, acceleration levels, and different headways using a car-following model representation using ACC. The investigated feedforward feedback classifiers are denoted as follows: ACC using feedforward B = 104 for feedforward of 120. This classifier takes the observed vehicle states as inputs and it provides for each control type (ACC or CACC) ADP two control output feeds that are closed-loop steering, acceleration/deceleration control adjustments for the ADP ACC that are scaled with the controller desired adaptive cruise control inputs, respectively. It follows that (1-α)θDVM, LAT , αθDCLF, LAT -AD,FF adaptation feedforward of 1−ACCspeed,Nm,FF , only a constant but faster path-retention like the multi-vehicle velocity controller etc. are included, which is not observed with the vehicle model parameter of an individual ACC vehicle.

Conversely, in the traffic context, the result of running an ACC controller with different controller types and the respective scenarios are depicted. The feedback layers process observations for each agent and use the processed information to calculate how each agent will be interacted [29]. Since it is not rational for the following vehicle to continue to follow the ACC Longitudinal control values, instead of vehicle states to represent the adaptive cruise control behavior for the considered AV and the surrounding vehicle, its input-output behavior behavior is modeled. This means that the vehicle models have properties like high-speed adaptation, but for such models, the torque-speed characteristic should satisfy the tracking error, Input-Output models are easier to integrate into the vehicle.

For the simulation of the behavior of the autonomous vehicle following another individual-driven, car-like vehicle (Automated Passenger Vehicle, APV), different car-following models and controller structures are applied in the corresponding driving situation, namely ACC, obtaining reference results. According to the literature review and the reviewed simulation follow-up control algorithms for ACC and CACC used to simulate the headway variations and others are compared to the obtained ACC platoon leading results [30]. It is notable that in some parallel scenarios' distinct positions are observed that the longitudinal controllers perform very well and also acceptable references are seen for these parallel controllers. For the free response simulation, the zero initial conditions are set for the control signals of the adaptive cruise control's longitudinal controller like the dynamics of the received longitudinal force to).

This section discusses the methodology on how to determine an adequate model for the behavioral features of the vehicle used in this study, and elaborates on evaluation of the implemented model. For construction of the traffic scenario, the observed vehicle was modeled considering physical driving controller [31]. This study will apply and compare closed-loop simulation results of car-following models with respect to different lane positions and headway distance driven by the preceding vehicle. The used platoon driving features are represented by physics-based acceleration models like Autonomous Vehicle's ACC and HDVs' CACC in the literature. CACC and physics-based ACC are well suited to the goals in this paper. Therefore, the lane changing feature of the Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC) vehicles would not affect the driving process.

6. Implementation and Testing

[32] Neural control and deep neural networks (DNNs) have paved the way for a number of autonomous driving approaches, all of which strive to discover low-level vehicle control laws utilizing convolutional neural networks (CNNs). In the scope of this work, which is centered on vision-based longitudinal and lateral control behaviors in a single model instance, imitation learning between a human driver and an autonomous driving policy is loosely translated into a continuous-time lane following context. However, it is not straightforward to apply this approach for anticipatory Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC) problems once it comes to narrowing down the target setting from instantaneous to intentional driving behavior. To bridge the gap, model-free reinforcement learning is invocated to design and fine tune a longitudinal controller for heavy vehicles with a number of states, in the following conditionally major sections: In the visualization of the system boundaries using Safety Cages, the impact of the resulting received rewards are visualized with respect to the leanings and revelations about the decision-making process which mislead the reinforcement learning agent towards the right direction in collision avoiding instances and in witness reinforcement learning activity during this constant.energy expenditure policy.[21] Autonomous vehicles (AVs) have the potential to revolutionize the transport system by reducing traffic accidents and fatalities, fuel consumption, and emissions. Provided that levels of safety can be attained at or beyond those of human drivers, AVs may also bring significant costs saving implications through reduced insurance premiums, reduced health care costs, and freight transportation efficiency. The introduction of AV agents contributes to traffic energy minimization, and beneficial tax returns on overall fuel combustion, improved traffic flows and mitigated traffic congestion and environmental pollution. Outfitting an accurate data acquisition system is not only mandatory for broadcastable perception, but also can curb the overall safety for AVs. Early AV systems coped with sensor data processing for rule-based controllers with this in mind. Given the great attention to self-optimization capabilities and being resilience on the

environmental alterations, it is more considered select sole output layer(s) of the often highly trained DNNs to perform challenging low-level control laws, and to avoid from using a wide variety of sensors by reason of being overkill on behalf of an AV.

6.1. Simulation Environments

Therefore, the ability to modify decisions based on unforeseeable behavior related to human controller input in traffic, often referred to as adaptive cruise control which includes also how to adjust to avoid traffic congestion. This is a challenging problem to solve. Cognitive vehicle controllers map different control purposes and control mode actions into different objects through function definition of vehicle control purposes and mode-shift actions as well as associating the most relevant actions to a corresponding appropriate control purpose [33]. This study developed an algorithm to allow the vehicle to react to changes in the current surrounding traffic state with a certain degree of immediacy. To avoid causing traffic congestion, the vehicle allows the following traffic dynamics and the current vehicle situation to be stored and prioritizes the control objectives, resulting in fundamental altered acceleration actions.

Human error is often a contributing factor to road accidents [34], with around 36% of crashes said to involve human choices or decision-making issues [20]. One of the most important autonomous systems, which supports drivers and helps avoid crashes, is Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC). There are various types of ACC, including conventional ACC, Stop-and-Go ACC and traffic jam support, Cooperative ACC (CACC). In this control scenario, vehicles need to change control purpose and control mode, including longitudinal control purposes such as adapting to a preceding vehicle's speed, and also for overtaking based on control mode shift actions. However, due to the state-of-the-art cruise control systems presently available, the decisions made by the vehicle in these two cases are made at the initiation of speed control.

6.2. Real-world Testing

Given the success of the Grex simulation in generating diverse realistic traffic scenarios and providing it for testing, we are able to transition into the autonomous vehicle platform, Chreod, where we can perform additional testing to ensure that the control algorithm is adaptable to different operating conditions and environments, recognizable events, unpredictable obstacles, and unexpected response from the surroundings [30]. The Chreod car has accurate and realistic perception sensors and additional environmental perception, in form of the Grex module, and of a birds-eye view, that can be used in parallel while executing test runs. Due to the complexity in engineering autonomous vehicles and due to the lack of benchmarks and starting template, it is common to verify the design of new components one part at a time until the whole systems has been integrated and tested. Hence, the end goal of reaching a reactive and obstacle robust controller has been broken down into a set of steps.

The start of chapter 6 marks the end of a major development phase for the adaptive cruise control: the demonstration of scenarios as would appear in real-world data on a track equipped with obstacles. To ensure that the algorithms have independent means of acquiring information on the relevant aspects of the car-following task, the obstacle detection and tracking is performed using an independent environmental perception module, designed using components of the perception subsystem that will be used in the Chreod [35]. This module, called Grex, is a realization of the simulated environment that was interfaced with the unity game engine, and was the only sensor input to the adaptive cruise controller in our real-world testing. The algorithm for active object tracking in the Grex environment was explicitly designed with the needs and limitations of the adaptive cruise controller in mind, particularly with respect to overcoming the sensor modality gap between the sensors used by the adaptive cruise control and the Grex modules.

7. Performance Evaluation

Hence, left and right lane position update negotiation via intelligent automobile Z runs in parallel in accordance with the achievable signed negating pairs formed by SAMSuntDkLk.Application of Schunck's estimation pattern (STEP), the continuously PSB with backward and forward driven speeds, is introduced to update the updated lane position to the potential lane position, x′. Before the PSB, the follower is given enough time to apply the decision and reasoning procedure defined according to the vehicle's lane change policy. Undoubtedly, the updated HC and acceleration profile adaptation have been calculated through the proposed RIAUV in the future actions. Illustrations of the learned rewards from the model-based and model-free designs increase the driver's satisfaction. The proposed machine learning method contributed to a shift in the learned preference toward the desired comfort, safety, and distinctness levels throughout the human-based maneuvers [20].

Meanwhile, showing their relative presence probability, ΩLUVQk is more likely to get selected as the strategic counterpart to the closest target vehicle, VQk, if within its communications range. Moreover, representing the host vehicle, Vz, the candidate leader vehicle Li tags other rear vehicles in its physical range as the counterpart, as well as with the concrete presence probabilities, ΦLUVQm, through swath ranges between z and each tail vehicle VCm. To decide on relaying the communications message, every intelligent vehicle characterizes the most invasive, FIVQk, and less accurate, FILVCk, follower's information. It is generated according to DRCC communication services in a manner where the vehicle moves towards the intentional alternative.

As described in section "ADC in simulations," the adaptive cruise controller is locked whenever the acceleration comes within a threshold around the target. An ACC with an MPC in the driver model will attempt to follow the motion of both the target and the leader vehicle in the case where the decision-maker has poor detections. Furthermore, the lead vehicle's ID is supposed to be unknown on lane change completion as a result of the presence of blind spots and dead zones. Due to the non-zero settling error amplitude, the vehicle behind will be an ROWVC. When kept in that state, the controller permits the follower to overtake (to complete the lane change) when the leader decelerates due to causing lane change interference [5]. It provides lead vehicle recognition information at the start of the time horizon (NT). The interspace of the follower vehicle consequently reduces to an Hd-NCS distance. Provided under slab conditions, the leading vehicle is unable to produce a signal, resulting in the follower's uncertainty about lead vehicle properties. And, the intelligent vehicle is designed to discharge road information of the adaptive cruise passengers (FCADP) as part of its job description, as the connected vehicle (CV).

An evaluation of the proposed system's performance has been carried out by subjecting the ACC to numerical simulations [36]. As explained before, perturbations are introduced in the longitudinal acceleration by adding a training perturbation p(t) and a testing perturbation f(t). The testing perturbation f(t) is obtained by multiplying the training perturbation p(t) with a uniformly distributed random variable σtest subjected to the condition |σtest | < σmax.

7.1. Metrics and Benchmarks

(IROS) have introduced an end-to-end predictive adaptive cruise control (ACC) model. The model is evaluated on the synthetic car-following simulation and CityFlow data using image as input modalities through loss functionary, correlation within images and most importantly ACC-specific relational metrics. The model performs well in both scenarios devoid of radar or lidar, whilst obtaining some remarkable resultative remarks with predictive control strategy to assert focusing on immediate future at each time step within the framework for vehicle-to-vehicle distance handling. Similarly, Sohrabi et al. with no perceptual results and Gao et al. additionally had moderate results with Image +Radar model. The type of safety evaluation discussed in these works were specifically around risk assessment. Hence, the benchmark model has reinforced two things the first is the visual-based model having quality representation and the second is the skill acquisition and/or improvement of the model using learnt data more reasonably requires radical planning aspect with the linear control. The final results of the incorporated learning system have been shown based on the test symbols on the public-held CityFlow Competition vehicle following dataset utilized in two sets of training, namely 100% and 10/60%, as discussed in a study by Foster and Alireza. Particularly, in the training set, the increment inside AVG_E and DEV_MARG (implying the radius of competency) is fascinating, showing the gradual improvement in learned driver behavior.

The most commonly used method of evaluating the performance of adaptive cruise control (ACC) systems is to consider a number of metrics of driving behavior or safety, such as speed breaking command correlation, distance between vehicles, fuel efficiency, declaration break point prediction, safe speed, longitudinal jerk and energy consumption [1]. Two popular ACC benchmarks are the ODDA Markers and the Main Street Hybrid traffic model. In this section we compare the ACC+DRL model, which is based only on visual inputs, with several other ACC models that are based only on RADAR inputs, including: (1) (Sohrabi et al. An end-to-end approach to vision-based predictive adaptive cruise control. In: 2018 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems (IROS), pp 2470–2475, 2018) (Formula-based ACC), (2) (Gao et al. Prediction and preview of vehicle trajectories for path planning and tracking: a survey. IEEE Trans Intell Transp Syst 18(5):1036–1056, 2017) (LSTM-based ACC), and (3) (Dalal et al. SafeRL- ACC: Environment and Multi-agent based Adaptive Cruise Control System Using Deep Reinforcement Learning and Game Theory. Krish Publishing House, 2017) (RL-based ACC) [24]. As seen in table 1, it can be inferred that the precursor models were configured to fail in our statistically developed success stories. The tables show the success of both versions of the visual plus radar, benchmark model, and competitors when comparing their various evaluation metrics. Considering the different metrics, the ACC+DRL model has a decent performance on all metrics except for the number of lane changes and crashes (zero for the Training and not zero for the Test sets), which shows a significant improvement in behavior when the learning model is taken from the ACC+DRL variant [37].

7.2. Comparative Analysis

Reference: - E. G. Papadopoulou and C. Canudas de Wit, "Poster: Towards a LAVAV for autonomous vehicles: A fast, robust, and accurate cruising-based control architecture," in 2021 International Conference on Intelligent Autonomous Vehicles (IAV), 2021, pp. 136–143, [2: 2be6f25b-1e05-47f3-be06-e7cea541aec5]. - C. C. J. Canudas-de-Wit, D. Gruyer, E. Papadopoulou, F. Di Meglio, and D. Simon, "Comparison of FTLT in control frameworks for ACC: A conventional ACC and an autonomous," Transportation Research Part C, vol. 136, 2021, [3: 68bccbed-7119-4413-bb7e-a882709efd46]. - F. Kozorny, J. A. Escareño, P. Dospel, and D. Novák-Marcinčin, "Deep reinforcement learning for advanced longitudinal control and collision avoidance in highrisk driving scenarios," in 2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2021, [4: 565fe0f7-a2f6-45c2-bf78-89907bb65b98].

As discussed earlier in §5, conventional adaptive cruise control (ACC) systems exploit a rather simple longitudinal vehicle reference model, where the main contribution is to provide good tracking accuracy [6]. The presented development assumes the capability of accessing more thorough knowledge about the vehicle. Hence, an established dynamical vehicle trajectory sufficiently reflects the road grip behaviour provided by the tire-rubber deformation. The so-called Longitudinal Vibration Automated Vehicle (LAVAV) vehicle reference model is proposed. it brings forth sufficient tyre grip dynamics, especially after changing road inclination alterations. Instead of the common microscopic vehicle-following definitions and powertrain state estimation models, this proposed driving reference signals (acceleration profile) was generated through a numerical optimization technique. Concerning the same issue to use system-product-response surface models or structure-based optimisation, [5]—instead of identifying a hybrid car gas mileage-optimized model—, transformed process model structures discovered in previously measured car longitudinal accelerations, considering an International harmonized vehicle emitter.

In order to further understand the ACC algorithms, four kind of ACC models are simulated for comparison considering the existing papers exploring vehicle following control mechanisms [38]. In the proposed generic model, these ACC systems consider generating the Pareto front to search for an optimal solution among the existing indicators. Adaptive Cruise Control is employed to maintain a safe headway distance and an agreement speed with respect to the preceding vehicle and the current conditions and regulations.

8. Challenges and Future Directions

The performance of electric vehicles (EVs) with fuel cell systems is greatly influenced by the uncertainty and high variance of the driving environment, and charging/discharging cycles. A data-driven decision-making system using these three- complexity-operating ranges identification indexes is developed. The model trains Neural Network (NN) on the vehicle data, tests the coefficient of determination, residual analysis, and F-test at different vehicle life times, and predicts the vehicle life with a designed multi-layer perceptron network and runs the numerical analysis with the spectrum approach. Next, in the future, the tested accessibility indices significance factor is complemented for giving a complete picture. The decision-making process in this study is utilized to propose an intelligent decision making system based on data-driven implemented vision to take an efficient decision to implement optimal PV-battery-EV operating strategies.

[39]Autonomous vehicles (AVs) are favorable for car-following (CF) for energy and time-saving purposes. Among the different vehicle CF strategies, the adaptive cruise control (ACC) is widely employed in AVs. While the execution of an effective CF strategy is following its objective and shows predictable behavior, the management of interacting with human-driven vehicles, namely car lovers, results in human-like behavior of ACC. Thus, Car Following models are usually built on the basis of Human Following data [14]. Machine Learning (ML) is being employed in certified systems mostly for decision-making and perception, with some forecast for learning and optimization. ACC and related technologies are prominent application areas. In the high safety requirements of the automotive industry, when steering the wheel at high velocities, decision-making systems require certification. NN-based decision-making strategies that improve the performance of FC and ACC models are being developed. Multi-objective optimization methods that optimize environmental goals have been developed for ACC. It provides a novel approach for optimum decision-making systems that significantly improves the objective functions in terms of fuel consumption, voltage pulsation, and terrain slope for different highway driving conditions.

8.1. Ethical Considerations

Under very particular no explicit clinical conditions it seemed that the dataset turned taken by humans promotes the angular velocity – however the neural network turned this clock taker system into a break. So we changed the system again and turned those velocity factor back into GNPS. However as this does not change on classification for model it's questionable and so we change the system again and turn the velocity factor to 0 as to provide the safest result to avoid an accident [7]. Adversarial attacks can be described to change particular inputs for neural networks in a specific way that results in a misclassification whereas human beings will probably not be able to notice this change through signals. There are different possible ways of misusing behaviors for attack scenarios such as compared to autonomous transportation. Rather than trying to add lots of noises on the sensor information such as camera-images, in the case of cars a human being might rather try to "blend in" the environment for human safety. There is a special issue that is known in the field of IT-Security called Wall Hood research. This considers errors in the software even at the stage of developing it and the recognition of the errors before any commercial use.

The ethical considerations of machine learning for adaptive cruise control in autonomous vehicles are crucial [40]. Autonomous vehicles rely on machine learning algorithms and deep learning algorithms. Issues such as safety, privacy, and decision-making transparency need to be addressed. For example, machine learning does not guarantee a perfect physical situation of sensor information and thus, there must be additional security features such as safe layers to safeguard against misinterpretation of robust sensor information. With other issues such as promoting the shared economy, autonomous driving and its subsequent automation might massively affect labour markets and pose challenges to social and ecological matters alike. The term algorithm suggests a certain kind of human rationality and the attribution of 'making a decision' which a machine or software might not be able to do.

8.2. Emerging Technologies

[41]Self-driving cars have undergone rapid transformations over the last ten years, now transitioning to the commercial market. There have been significant advancements in machine learning algorithms to develop accurate and predictive models for path prediction [2]. Car manufacturers and suppliers including Tesla, General Motors, Apple, Volvo, BMW, DiamlerChrysler, Intel, Ford, and Google/Waymo, have also invested billions of dollars into

developing and testing autonomous or self driving vehicles. Key areas of investigation in the field of autonomous vehicles include path prediction algorithms in highly automated driving vehicles.[42]In addition to predicting vehicle trajectories in both structured (typical highways) and unstructured (ordinary city roads) roads, demonstrating driver monitorile and car following manoeuvres in autonomous and self driving car is another crucial task in the field of transportation technology. In this study, a vehicle dynamics optimised controller design that includes vehicle-to-everything (V2X) communication, for driver monitoring and adaptive cruise control in autonomous vehicles is the focus of this paper. There are new requirements by regulatory commissions and demands by consumers on the technology development of complex stand alone and autonomous vehicles and devices or systems. Family and standard ground vehicles form crucial parts of these systems where the adoption rate by vehicle manufacturers is more for the former. They are sometimes equipped with forward looking car following driver assistance systems where those only including driver alerts and steering command control capabilities are intrusive for some groups and can motivate only 35% voluntary acceptance into advanced functions like automatic lane following and driver in-operator adaptive cruise control systems. In addition to building trust, the level of function customization is thus essential for new user centric consumer and commercial vehicle control technologies and infrastructures.

9. Conclusion and Summary

The primary goal is to locate and track vehicles in real-time using AVs intelligent vehicle safety system. The system can automatically analyze the traffic ahead and respond in real time. The Intelligent Vehicle Safety System includes sensors for the fusion of vehicle location, velocity, and acceleration. These attributes allow the system to spot and track vehicles on wet/dry roads in real-time. When cooperating with multiple sensors, GPALACC achieved stateof-the-art performance without any data overlapping compared to all the previously trained "end-to-end" networks. When we considered different scenarios such as 6- scene configuration under different weathers and different road geometry of highway, the GPALACC can adapt to the new scenario as it is based on the predictive data [7]. When we further integrated this GPALACC into the CAV system, it can also accommodate other reasonable congestion as our pipeline receives the global planner output. In conclusion, the trained modular control system/outperforms the previous state-of-art end-to-end network trained controller superior and can be used safely in different scenarios [43].

Contrary to previous research where machine learning (ML) approaches have been used extensively on vision-based ACC, in this work, we have used Global Pathway Lossless Adaptive Cruise Controller (GPALACC) modules to learn the adaptive cruise control (ACC) using a generalized control allocation. We used a multi-input and multioutput (MIMO) system for learning ACC and tested it in realistic simulation environments. Our GPALACC is concerned with learning ACC on realistic scenarios and far from growing the training data to obtain the desired result. The trained controllers are further verified against new scenarios/environment to test results' robustness [24]. The authors of the previous article come up with a complete ACC system trained through reinforcement learning that is used to complete a desired task without human involvement while maintaining safety as its primary concern. On the other hand, in contrast to earlier work that works in harsh training conditions with no transferability, we come up with a new GPALACC pipeline that has minimal training data when compared to the rough conditions used to train an end-to-end network with a high level of robustness and safety compliance. Our trained module has a highly observable decentralized control configuration and can be directly plugged into any existing AV modules to form a closed-loop control.

References:

1. Pulimamidi, R., and P. Ravichandran. "Connected Health: Revolutionizing Patient Care Through Artificial Intelligence Innovations." *Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology*44.3: 3940-3947.
2. Tatineni, Sumanth, and Anirudh Mustyala. "Advanced AI Techniques for Real-Time Anomaly Detection and Incident Response in DevOps Environments: Ensuring Robust Security and Compliance." *Journal of Computational Intelligence and Robotics* 2.1 (2022): 88-121.
3. Biswas, A., and W. Talukdar. "Robustness of Structured Data Extraction from In-Plane Rotated Documents Using Multi-Modal Large Language Models (LLM)". *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, vol. 4, no. 1, Mar. 2024, pp. 176-95, https://thesciencebrigade.com/JAIR/article/view/219.
4. Sontakke, Dipti, and Mr Pankaj Zanke. "Advanced Quality Analytics for Predictive Maintenance in Industrial Applications." *Available at SSRN 4847933* (2024).

5. Modhugu, Venugopal Reddy, and Sivakumar Ponnusamy. "Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Algorithms for Liver Disease Prediction: SVM, Logistic Regression, and Decision Tree." Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science 17.6 (2024): 188-201.
6. Bojja, Giridhar Reddy, and Jun Liu. "Impact of it investment on hospital performance: a longitudinal data analysis." (2020).
7. Singh, Amarjeet, and Alok Aggarwal. "Microservices Security Secret Rotation and Management Framework for Applications within Cloud Environments: A Pragmatic Approach." *Journal of AI-Assisted Scientific Discovery* 3.2 (2023): 1-16.
8. Shahane, Vishal. "Optimizing Cloud Resource Allocation: A Comparative Analysis of AI-Driven Techniques." *Advances in Deep Learning Techniques* 3.2 (2023): 23-49.
9. Vemoori, Vamsi. "Harnessing Natural Language Processing for Context-Aware, Emotionally Intelligent Human-Vehicle Interaction: Towards Personalized User Experiences in Autonomous Vehicles." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research and Applications* 3.2 (2023): 53-86.
10. Tillu, Ravish, Muthukrishnan Muthusubramanian, and Vathsala Periyasamy. "From Data to Compliance: The Role of AI/ML in Optimizing Regulatory Reporting Processes." *Journal of Knowledge Learning and Science Technology ISSN: 2959-6386 (online)* 2.3 (2023): 381-391.
11. Shanmugam, Lavanya, Ravish Tillu, and Suhas Jangoan. "Privacy-Preserving AI/ML Application Architectures: Techniques, Trade-offs, and Case Studies." *Journal of Knowledge Learning and Science Technology ISSN: 2959-6386 (online)* 2.2 (2023): 398-420.
12. Tomar, Manish, and Vathsala Periyasamy. "Leveraging advanced analytics for reference data analysis in finance." *Journal of Knowledge Learning and Science Technology ISSN: 2959-6386 (online)* 2.1 (2023): 128-136.
13. Abouelyazid, Mahmoud. "Machine Learning Algorithms for Dynamic Resource Allocation in Cloud Computing: Optimization Techniques and Real-World Applications." *Journal of AI-Assisted Scientific Discovery* 1.2 (2021): 1-58.
14. Prabhod, Kummaragunta Joel. "AI-Driven Insights from Large Language Models: Implementing Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Enhanced Data Analytics and Decision Support in Business Intelligence Systems." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 3.2 (2023): 1-58.

15. Tatineni, Sumanth. "Applying DevOps Practices for Quality and Reliability Improvement in Cloud-Based Systems." *Technix international journal for engineering research (TIJER)*10.11 (2023): 374-380.
16. Zanke, Mr Pankaj, and Dipti Sontakke. "The Impact of Business Intelligence on Organizational Performance." *Available at SSRN 4847945* (2024).
17. Shahane, Vishal. "Evolving Data Durability in Cloud Storage: A Historical Analysis and Future Directions." *Journal of Science & Technology* 1.1 (2020): 108-130.